A review of recent burn care text outlines the risk of complications for patients in the acute phase as well as rehabilitation. While risks include seemingly more critical issues such as permanent disfigurement, disability, and serial surgeries for skin grafts and contractures, a pressure injury (PI) is a serious complication that many burn patients may develop. The Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk tells us that burn patients are often at high risk of PI development due to poor nutrition, immobility, and excessive moisture.1 Recently, the use of a high-volume low air loss (LAL) therapeutic mattress has been found to reduce the incidence of PI in the acute burn population.2

Burn Incidence in the United States
In 2016, the American Burn Association (ABA) reported that 486,000 burn injuries received medical treatment.3 There were 3,275 deaths from residential fires, 310 from vehicle crash fires, and 220 from other sources.3 Burn injuries accounted for 40,000 hospitalizations, and of these, 30,000 were treated at hospital burn centers. More than 60 percent of the estimated US acute care hospitalizations related to burn injury were admitted to 128 burn centers.3

In 2014, Hop et al. performed a systematic review of literature that had been published internationally from 1950 to 20124 and reported that burn care is typically expensive: the mean total healthcare cost per burn patient in high-income countries was $88,218.3 In addition to these costs, the average hospital-acquired pressure injury (HAPI) can cost a facility as much as $70,000.5 This amount may be higher in the burn population due to multiple comorbidities, such as immobility and protein loss.

Caring for the Acute Burn Patient
While it has been reported in the past that risk factors and incidence of PI in the acute care burn population are not well known,6 there is some data to suggest that burn patients are particularly at risk of developing PIs based on admission Braden Scale scores.7 A support surface is a critical tool in care of the acute care burn patient. Air fluidized therapy (AFT) has been used in the past but may be hot and noisy, and can cause unnecessary dryness for the patient—thus, nurse and patient satisfaction with AFT has been low.

What is Low Air Loss?
LAL support surfaces provide airflow to assist in managing the heat and humidity (microclimate) of the skin5, as well as remove excess moisture when a burn patient’s wounds excrete fluid. Excess moisture and increased
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temperature are risk factors for PI formation.

Knight et al.² conducted a pilot study examining incidence of PIs in 18 patients admitted to an acute burn unit whose therapy included use of a high-volume LAL therapeutic mattress. Patients presented with a wide range of severity of burns from first to third degree, as well as different types. Using a high-volume LAL mattress resulted in an incidence rate of 5.5 percent—much lower than the 38 percent typically experienced in an intensive care unit.⁵ These study results are promising for planning future care of the acute care burn population.

### Conclusion

Development of a PI can greatly increase the already significant cost of treatment of the burn patient. Preventing PIs will reduce overall costs and improve outcomes for this population. A high-volume LAL mattress may improve patient and nurse satisfaction compared to traditional care used in this population.

### Burn Treatment Resources

- **Find a local certified burn center:** [bit.ly/americanburnassoc](bit.ly/americanburnassoc)
- **First Aid for burns:** [bit.ly/firstaidforburns](bit.ly/firstaidforburns)
- **Burn education resources:** [bit.ly/ameriburn](bit.ly/ameriburn)

### How it Works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>AIR FLUIDIZED THERAPY</strong></th>
<th><strong>HIGH-VOLUME LOW AIR LOSS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight Capacity</strong></td>
<td>350 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full-body Support</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How it Works</strong></td>
<td>· Mattress filled with fluid and beads  &lt;br&gt;· Heated air pushes through beads to control mattress firmness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ergonomics</strong></td>
<td>· Difficult to move patient out of bed  &lt;br&gt;· Weighs 1,630 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient Comfort</strong></td>
<td>· Patients often complain of heat and noise  &lt;br&gt;· May dehydrate the patient and their skin  &lt;br&gt;· Bed capacity (weight and height) is limited</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are two major problems we face generally. The first is the initial assessment, resuscitation, and the treatment planning of any given burn patient—every burn patient is different. The second is how to organize the burn center itself in order to help clinics, floors, intensive care units, and operating rooms deal with burn patients as quickly, efficiently, safely, and productively as possible.

The principal bed prior to our current Sizewise Immerse™ was the Hill-Rom Clinitron® Rite Hite®; it has the bags for the lower half of the body, and then cushions for the upper half. It provided flexibility in terms of sitting up, eating, etc. We had pretty satisfactory experience with it in terms of prevention of pressure injuries and maintenance of reconstructed tissue such as skin grafts and flaps. It was very hard to get patients in and out of it, conversion to any kind of emergency platform was difficult, and it was incredibly hard to move.

Based on the experience we’ve had with the Immerse bed—experience that continues now long past the pilot study, I’d give Immerse an “A” for patient support/clinical and an “A” for operational ease of use. From the nurse perspective, you might even get an “A+” on operational ease of use. Immerse seems very intuitive to use, very easy to control, and easy to move around and take back and forth from surgery. I also think it is very straightforward to convert Immerse to a critical platform for emergencies.

We selected patients who needed substantial bed support and began to watch them very closely to see how well [Immerse] supported them, and how well it adapted to nursing and other operational aspects. The study included 18 patients over 18 months. The study outcomes were excellent. There was only one patient that developed a pressure injury, arguably while hospitalized on the bed, but that patient may well have had the initial portions of that injury before he/she arrived. Either way, one out of 18 is very good. That’s 5.5 percent. And in these high-risk patients, there could be a much higher rate of expected pressure injuries.

I would recommend Immerse for any patient who has a potential for developing a pressure injury. That recommendation can be extended into a remarkable list, including some patients that we often don’t think about. For example, an elderly patient with a hip fracture who is waiting be operated on and the waiting time extends 18 to 24 hours after the fracture. That patient is at risk for a PI while waiting to go to surgery. Each specialty and each practice may have its own subset of patients that can be considered high risk and of the population for whom this bed is recommended.

Great question. I think, at some point going forward, we’ll break out our burn patients and our non-burn patients. We also have a new colleague here who will be doing more micro-surgery—operations such as a free flap to the scalp after a skull resection. We are going to want to have that patient sitting up, in effect, so that we can position his head so there is no pressure on the flap. This case is just one example of the types of procedures we can start utilizing the bed for.

I would also just stress that with so many of our product utilizations, an absolutely invaluable element is our relationship with the representative supporting the product. Our Sizewise representative has been able to explain the bed to us, in-service the nurses, follow up its use, and answer all questions. This is exactly the kind of relationship we need to have for a new product.

Dr. Lineaweaver is medical director of the Joseph M. Still Burn and Reconstructive Center in Jackson, Miss. He is a board-certified plastic surgeon, fellowship trained in hand and microsurgery, and has extensive experience caring for acute burn patients.
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